

home | archives | polls | search

Ideas have consequences.

What He Said

Go and read what **Oliver Kamm** says about the aftermath of the Hutton Report.

Sample:

The resignations of the two most senior figures in the BBC's management are welcome and honourable. The accompanying verbiage is not. Greg Dyke's pre-recorded assertion yesterday that the BBC acknowledged errors and had taken remedial action bore the hallmarks of one who had no conception of the venality of the BBC's conduct. These were not faults of administrative torpor or inefficiency: they were instances of professional misconduct compounded by an institutional abdication of responsibility to investigate grave and unfounded allegations. The moral evasion of the BBC management in insinuating that, while they resign, they do so having been more sinned against than sinning is an indication in itself of the unfitness of the BBC to be self-regulating or even to be taken seriously as a public-service broadcaster.

Kamm, the Thunderer.

Thu, 01/29/2004 - 22:47 | digg | del.icio.us | permalink

Three Lettered Air

What is it about three letters of air-time? BBC, CBS, FOX, ABC, CCN, CNN, ETC.

How can they in all seriousness, be taken seriously? Yet some do. BBC, CNN, etc.. Are we so bold to believe that there is such a noble thing as the manufactured news? And, executives(?)who are capable of managing such?

Once I might have been naive to trust all things British. Even Air Time. But I think not.

Now I trust that which is not broadcast, or is broadcast, but only what is clearly thought, and better yet reasonably, rationally

spoken, with no authority other than one's own, and other's own,

incisive questioning at the end of each sentence. Air time, or not.

by a reader on Fri, 01/30/2004 - 20:04 | **reply**

Copyright © 2007 Setting The World To Rights